
Ingham-Beurling inequalities, number theory and control of PDE's

Gérald TENENBAUM and Marius TUCSNAK
Institut Elie Cartan de Nancy

Outline

- Background on exact observability and its cost
- Two examples
- Statement of the main results
- Ingham-Beurling type inequalities
- How does analytic number theory help

Background on exact observability and its cost

Let X and Y be Hilbert spaces, $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ et $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), Y)$.

$$(1) \quad \dot{w}(t) = Aw(t), \quad y(t) = Cw(t).$$

Assume that A generates a C^0 semigroup, denoted \mathbb{T} , in X .

Definition 1. $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), Y)$ is an *admissible observation operator* for \mathbb{T} if there exist $\tau > 0$, $k_\tau > 0$ such that

$$k_\tau^2 \int_0^\tau \|C\mathbb{T}_t z_0\|_U^2 dt \leq \|z_0\|_X^2 \quad \forall z_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Definition 2. Let $\tau > 0$ and let $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), Y)$ be an admissible observation operator for \mathbb{T} . The pair (A, C) is *exactly observable in time τ* if there exists $K_\tau > 0$ such that

$$K_\tau^2 \int_0^\tau \|C\mathbb{T}_t z_0\|_U^2 dt \geq \|z_0\|_X^2 \quad \forall z_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A). \quad (1)$$

Definition 3. The smallest constant $K_\tau > 0$ satisfying (1) is said the observation cost in time τ .

Remark 1. C is admissible iff the operators $(\Psi_\tau)_{\tau>0}$ defined by

$$(\Psi_\tau z_0)(t) = \begin{cases} C\mathbb{T}_t z_0 & \text{for } t \in [0, \tau], \\ 0 & \text{for } t > \tau, \end{cases}$$

can be extended to operators in $\mathcal{L}(X, L^2(0, \infty; Y))$.

(A, C) is exactly observable in time τ iff

$$K_\tau \|\Psi_\tau z_0\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), L^2(0, \infty; Y))} \geq \|z_0\|_X \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Proposition 1. If (A, C) is exactly observable in time τ then there exists the operators $E_\tau \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(0, \infty; Y), X)$ such that

$$I_X = E_\tau \Psi_\tau, \quad K_\tau = \|E_\tau\|.$$

Two examples

A one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) = 0 & (x \in (0, \pi), t \geq 0), \\ w = 0 & (x \in \{0, 1\}, t \geq 0), \\ w = w_0 & (x \in (0, 1), t = 0). \end{cases}$$

The exact boundary observability problem:

$$k_\tau^2 \int_0^\tau \left| \frac{dw}{dx}(0, t) \right|^2 dt \geq \int_0^\pi \left| \frac{dw_0}{dx}(0) \right|^2 dx$$

Equivalent form : If (λ_n) is a regular sequence and $\tau > 0$ (large enough) then there exists k_τ with

$$k_\tau^2 \int_0^\tau \left| \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \geq \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2. \quad ((a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^2(\mathbb{C}))$$

The Schrödinger equation in a square

Let $\Omega = [0, \pi]^2$, $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ and $\tau > 0$.

The required observability inequality is

$$K_{\tau, \Gamma}^2 \int_0^\tau \int_\Gamma \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\Gamma \geq \int_\Omega |\nabla w(0)|^2 dx dt,$$

for every solution w .

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w} + i\Delta w = 0 & (x \in \Omega, t \geq 0), \\ w = 0 & (x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0). \end{cases}$$

Remark.

The classical result of Lebeau (1992) needs a Γ containing two sides.

An equivalent inequality

Let $\tau, a > 0$. The observability inequality holds for every τ and Γ iff for every τ and L

$$\int_0^\tau \int_0^L \left| \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{mn} e^{2\pi i(n x + \{m^2 + n^2\}t)} \right|^2 dx dt \geq \delta \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{mn}|^2$$

for every $(a_{mn}) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$.

Previous cost estimates (1D)

Result of Miller (2006):

$$\liminf_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \tau \ln k_{\tau} \geq \frac{\mu}{4},$$

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \tau \ln k_{\tau} \leq 4 \left(\frac{36}{37} \right)^2 \mu,$$

where $\mu := \left(\int_0^{\pi} \sqrt{a(x)} dx \right)^2$.

Open question: Improve the upper bound and possibly show that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \tau \ln k_{\tau} = \frac{\mu}{4}.$$

Previous results in 2D

For boundary observation:

Two sides are required.

For observation distributed inside Ω :

Any observation region is OK (Jaffard, 1992).

No longer true if Ω is a disk.

Statement of the main results

First main result (I)

Theorem. (Tenenbaum and Tucsna, to appear in JDE)
In the 1D case, the observation cost k_τ satisfies

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \tau \ln k_\tau \leq \frac{3\mu}{4},$$

where $\mu := \left(\int_0^\pi \sqrt{a(x)} dx \right)^2$.

Remark. The above improve Miller's estimate

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \tau \ln k_\tau \leq 4 \left(\frac{36}{37} \right)^2 \mu$$

but we are still far from $\frac{1}{4}$.

Second main result

Theorem. (Tenenbaum and Tucsnak to appear in Trans. of the AMS)

Let Ω be a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^2 and let $\tau > 0$. Then the system is exactly observable in any time $\tau > 0$ iff Γ contains non empty open vertical and horizontal parts.

Moreover, if Ω is square-like then there exist K_1, K_2, K_3 s.t.

$$K_{\tau, \Gamma} \leq \exp \left\{ K_1 \frac{(\ln |I_1|)^2}{|I_1|} + K_2 \frac{(\ln |I_2|)^2}{|I_2|} + e^{K_3/\tau} \right\}$$

Remark. The result improves Ramdani, Takahashi, Tenenbaum et Tucsnak (JFA, 2006) where we tackled the case of the square without getting the arbitrarily small observation time.

Inequalities of Ingham-Beurling-Kahane type and cost estimates

Ingham's inequality

Theorem. (Ingham, 1936) Let $\gamma, M > 0$ and let $(\lambda_n) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ s.t.

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq \gamma > 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then, for every interval I with $|I| > 2\pi/\gamma$ and for every sequence $(a_n) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\left(|I| - \frac{2\pi}{\gamma}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 \leq \int_I \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \leq \left(|I| + \frac{2\pi}{\gamma}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|^2.$$

Proof

We set $f(t) = \sum a_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$ with $\gamma = 1$, $I = [-\pi - \epsilon, \pi + \epsilon]$.

Let $k(t) = \frac{1+\cos t}{\pi^2-t^2} [(\pi + \epsilon)^2 - t^2]$.

Then $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cup L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is an even function and $K = \widehat{k}$, with

$$k(t) \leq 0 \text{ si } t \notin [-\pi - \epsilon, \pi + \epsilon],$$

$$K(u) = 0 \text{ si } |u| \geq 1, \quad K(0) > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K(0) \sum |a_n|^2 &= \sum \sum a_n \overline{a_m} K(\lambda_m - \lambda_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(t) |f(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_{-\pi-\epsilon}^{\pi+\epsilon} k(t) |f(t)|^2 dt \leq C \int_{-\pi-\epsilon}^{\pi+\epsilon} |f(t)|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. (Beurling, 1950, Tenenbaum et Tucsnaak (2006)).

Let $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence with

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq \gamma', \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

Assume that there exists $\gamma \geq \gamma'$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$\lambda_{n+M} - \lambda_n \geq \gamma M \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then, for every interval I with $l(I) > \frac{2\pi}{\gamma}$, there exists $\delta > \delta(\gamma, \gamma', M, I)$ such that

$$\int_I \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{i\lambda_n x} \right|^2 dx \geq \delta \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2,$$

for every $(a_n) \subset l^2(\mathbb{C})$.

A particular class of non-harmonic Fourier series

Proposition (Tenenbaum et Tucsna, 2007) Let $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a regular sequence s.t.

$$|\lambda_n - rn^2| \leq Cn \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Let $\kappa > \frac{3}{2}\pi^2$. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2 \ll e^{2\kappa/(rT)} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \left| \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt \quad \forall (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{C}),$$

Idea of the proof (I)

We construct a sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ of entire functions satisfying:

$$(A1) \quad |g_n(x)| \ll_{\Lambda} K_{\tau} / \{1 + |x - \lambda_n|^2\} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R});$$

$$(A2) \quad g_n(z) \ll_n e^{\pi\tau|z|} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C});$$

$$(A3) \quad g_n(\lambda_k) = \delta_{kn} \text{ for all } k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

By Paley–Wiener, g_n is, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the Fourier transform of $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $[-\tau/2, \tau/2]$.

Moreover, the sequence $(t \mapsto f_k(t - \tau/2))_k$ is biorthogonal (in $L^2(0, \tau)$) to the sequence $(e^{-2\pi i\lambda_k})$. We continue as in the proof of Ingham's theorem.

Idea of the proof (II)

The main difficulty is the construction of the sequence (g_n) . This is done in two steps:

1. Construction (via infinite products) of a sequence of entire functions (Ψ_n) such that $\Psi_n(\lambda_k) = \delta_{nk}$ and

$$\Psi_n(z) \ll e^{\pi\sqrt{|z-\lambda_n|}} \{1 + |z - \lambda_n|\}^B.$$

2. Find the best “multiplier” “ $H(z)$ such that $g_n(z) = \Psi_n(z)H(z)$ satisfies (A1).

How does number theory help

A Beurling type inequality in 2D

Theorem. (Tenenbaum et Tucsnaak (2008))

For every $b, \tau > 0$, there exists $\delta(b, \tau) > 0$ s.t.

$$\int_0^\tau \int_0^b \left| \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{mn} e^{i(n x + \{m^2 + n^2\}t)} \right|^2 dx dt \geq \delta \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{mn}|^2 \quad (1)$$

for every $(a_{mn}) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark. The result is obvious for $b, \tau \geq 2\pi$.

On the distribution of the sums of two squares

Lemma 1.

Let $\mathcal{N} = \{m^2 + n^2 \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ s.t. $\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{N} \cap]y, y + x]| \leq C_3 \frac{x}{\sqrt{\ln x}} \quad (x \geq 2)$.

Theorem (Selberg) Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{A} \subset]M, M + N] \cap \mathbb{N}$. Assume that, for each prime power p^r , \mathcal{A} is excluded from $w(p^r)$ residue classes modulo p^r and, furthermore, that, for each p , the forbidden residue classes mod p^r and mod p^s are disjoint whenever $r \neq s$. Then, for each $Q > 1$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \frac{N + Q^2}{L}$$

with

$$L := \sum_{d \leq Q} \prod_{p^r \parallel d} \left\{ \frac{1}{\vartheta(p^r)} - \frac{1}{\vartheta(p^{r-1})} \right\}, \quad \vartheta(p^r) := 1 - \sum_{1 \leq s \leq r} \frac{w(p^s)}{p^s}.$$

A simple arithmetic lemma

Lemma. *Let $n, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that*

$$n^2 + x^2 = (n + 1)^2 + y^2 = (n + 2)^2 + z^2.$$

Then n is odd. In particular the system

$$n^2 + x^2 = (n + 1)^2 + y^2 = (n + 2)^2 + z^2 = (n + 3)^2 + w^2,$$

has no solutions $n, x, y, w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Assume that n and x are even.

Then $1 + y^2 \equiv 0(4)$, which is a contradiction.

If n is even and x is odd, then $x^2 \equiv 1(8)$ and $(n + 2)^2 - n^2 \equiv 4(8)$, so that

$$z^2 \equiv n^2 + x^2 - (n + 2)^2 \equiv 1 - 4 \equiv 5(8),$$

which is impossible.

Consequence. (1) holds with

$$T \geq \frac{3}{2}, \text{ e.g., } I_1 = I_2 = [0, \frac{3}{4}].$$

On the distribution of lattice points on a circle

Lemma 2.

For $M, N, V \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we denote by $Z = Z(M, N, V)$ the set of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $M < n \leq M + N$ and $V - n^2$ is a square. Then

$$|Z| \leq C\sqrt{N \log(2N)},$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Proof. Use again Selberg's sieve and ...

Remark. In the case of a rectangle with incommensurable side-lengths we also need some diophantine approximation.